

The Challenges of Condition Based Maintenance

By Byron A. Ellis

Consultant and Executive Director of The Jethro Project (TJP)

email: ellis@jethroproject.com

Abstract

An effective condition based maintenance regime requires a good understanding of asset criticality; failure rates modes and, effects; as well as total cost of failures. Therefore, understanding what to monitor for a given asset requires reliability and financial related data.

Keywords: FMEA, RCM, CBM, CMMS, LCC, Failure, Assets

Introduction

In many instances, the installation of major assets have been planned, designed, bought, and installed with very little consideration given to maintenance, operations, and support (El-Haram and Horner, 2002). According to El-Haram and Horner, this practice has been expensive because the cost of maintenance, operation and support constitute a major portion of the asset life cycle cost (LCC).

Overman and Collard (2003) note that a study performed for the commercial airline industry in the early 1960's to validate the failure characteristic of aircraft components revealed that 11 percent of the components demonstrated a failure characteristic that supported a scheduled overhaul or replacement (time based maintenance). However, the remaining 89 percent exhibited random failure characteristics for which a scheduled removal was not effective. Nonetheless, some maintenance organizations continue to perform intrusive time based maintenance.

The airline study led to the development of condition monitoring (CM) technologies and reliability-centered maintenance (RCM) (Overman and Collard, 2003). RCM followed three distinct tracks: commercial aviation, military aviation, and commercial industry. According to Overman and Collard the commercial track became the most diverse, and RCM was divided into two main groups: classical and hybrid processes. The hybrid RCM is an attempt to short cut the process, leaving out some steps; because RCM analysis can be a tedious endeavor, requiring identification of system requirements and definition boundaries (Moore, 2006).

As a result, the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) saw a need to write a standard (SAE JA 1011) that defines what a process should include in order for it to be a "true" RCM process – that is, a process that conforms to the original RCM concept and one that includes all of the steps necessary to keep from being dangerous (Overman and Collard, 2003).

Condition Based Maintenance

Condition based maintenance (CBM), if technically feasible and economically justifiable, could reduce maintenance cost and improve asset reliability. Technical feasibility implies that there is an unambiguous indicator of failure initiation (Wiseman, 2006). CBM uses real-time data to determine the health of an asset. It shifts the maintenance emphasis from reactive to proactive. However, its implementation often requires the installation of monitoring instrumentation, which could be costly for existing assets.

CBM requires the installation of monitoring devices on subsystems or parts of an asset to measure degradation. Whenever the monitor detects degradation a report could be transmitted to a computerized maintenance management system (CMMS), which could issue a work order.

Breuker, Rossi, and Braun (2000) note that a trade off exists between the costs and benefits of real-time monitoring. For instance, performing frequent maintenance inspection results in high labor cost; conversely, infrequent maintenance inspection might lead to asset degradation and the possibility of premature failures (Breuker et al.). Hence, the costs and benefits of remote monitoring should be compared to the costs and benefits of frequent and infrequent maintenance inspections.

An effective CBM requires a good understanding of asset criticality; failure rates modes and, effects; as well as total cost of failures. Therefore, understanding what to monitor for a given asset requires reliability and financial related data (Crespo Márquez and Sánchez Herguedas, 2004). Reliability data determines failure rates and financial data determines the potential payoff, if any, of a monitoring regime. If internal reliability data is not available public records, manufacturer's data, and expert judgment may be used.

CBM should be based on asset criticality (safety, environmental and operational impact) and cost (failure rates). A number of techniques, such as failure modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMEA) and reliability centered maintenance (RCM), which are components of integrated logistics support (ILS), have been used to identify and select cost effective maintenance strategies (El-Haram and Horner, 2002).

El- Haram and Horner (2002) note that ILS is a managerial and technical approach that ensures that client/user will receive an asset that meets performance requirements with the minimum LCC.

A CBM regime on standby units, such as protective devices, or infrequently used assets would not be effective, since upon startup hidden failures could occur. However, to counteract, hidden failures Tsang (1995) recommended faultfinding (FF) tasks. FF tasks are performed at scheduled intervals to check the state of assets or items with dormant functions. A typical example is an emergency generator that is generally idle until needed.

Many maintenance organizations equipped with CBM do not effectively respond to alerts and alarms. However, some CBM systems are integrated into the CMMS, so that a work order is automatically generated when signals from a monitored point trigger alerts or alarms. This is particularly suited for continuous process plants where failure and downtime can be extremely costly.

Applying FMEA

FMEA is a systematic approach for identifying all possible ways that an element within a system can fail, as well as the causes of each failure and effects (El- Haram and Horner, 2002). Crow (2002) notes “The early and consistent use of FMEAs in the design process allows the engineer to design out failures and produce reliable, safe, and customer pleasing products. FMEA also capture historical information for use in future product improvement.”

Failure is when an asset, component or element cannot fulfill its mission. For many assets, however, certain symptoms (soft faults) often occur prior to total failure, such as excessive vibration, abnormal heat (Knapp et al., 2000), solid contents in oil, and so on. Sensors capable of detecting pre-failure signals can provide alerts and alarms notifying the asset operator of the potential of an impending failure. For other assets, failure (hard faults) may occur instantaneously and cannot be predicted; generally these failures are exogenously generated.

The FMEA procedure is straightforward and well documented; it is described in Crow’s (2002) [FMEA](#) article.

Applying RCM

RCM follows FMEA; it is a systematic approach in identifying the most applicable and cost effective maintenance tasks for an asset’s elements, services and equipment from a rigorous analysis of consequences of failure (El- Haram and Horner, 2002). In order to apply RCM, the organization needs to fully understand the functions of physical assets, and the nature of failure related to these functions (Jardine, and Tsang, 2005). According to Jardine and Tsang, the primary objective of RCM is to preserve the system’s function, rather than to keep the asset in service.

Conclusion

When purchasing critical assets, the statement of work (SOW) should specify that the suppliers perform FMEA and RCM analysis, as well as recommend strategies to minimize maintenance costs through the implementation of CBM, or other effective maintenance regimes. Moreover, if CBM is deemed cost effective, the supplier should identify subsystems, parts or elements of the systems that would benefit from remote monitoring.

References

- Breuker, M., Rossi, T., and Braun, T. (2000). Smart maintenance for rooftop units. *ASHRAE Journal*, pp. 41-46.
- Crespo Márquez, A. and Sánchez Herguedas, A. (2004). Learning about failure root causes through maintenance records analysis. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, Volume 10, Number 4, pp. 254–262.
- Crow, K. (2002). Failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA). *DRM Associates*.
- El-Haram, M. A. and Horner, M. W. (2002). Practical application of RCM to local authority housing: a pilot study. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, Volume 8, Number 2, pp. 135–143.
- Jardine, A. K. S. and Tsang, A. H. C. (2000). Maintenance, replacement, and reliability: Theory and applications. Taylor and Francis Group.
- Knapp, G.M., Javadpour, R., and Wang, H.P. (2000), An ARTMAP neural network-based machine condition monitoring system. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, Vol. 6 No. 2, 2000, pp. 86-105.
- Moore, R. (2006). Selecting the right manufacturing improvement tools. Burlington MA: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Overman and Collard (2003). The complimentary roles of reliability-centered Maintenance and Condition Monitoring. *Presented at IMC-2003 the 18th International Maintenance Conference*.
- Tsang, A. C. (1995). Condition based maintenance: Tools and decision making. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 1(3), 1-17.
- Wiseman, M. (2006). A history of CBM (Condition based maintenance). Retrieve June 23, 2009 from <http://www.omdec.com/moxie/Technical/Reliability/a-history-of-cbm.shtml>.

Copyright of TJP is the property of The Jethro Project and its contents may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserver without the copyright holder's express written permission. User, however, may print, download, or email articles for individuals use.